```
1
 2
                                                         JS-6
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
 9
                    CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
                                    Case No. CV 08-2777-JFW (PLAx)
11
   SERVICE EMPLOYEES
    INTERNATIONAL UNION,
12
                                    JUDGMENT
                  Plaintiff,
13
        v.
14
    SAL ROSSELLI, JORGE
15
   RODRIGUEZ, LORI WYMAN, JOAN
   EMSLIE, DAVID SHAPIRO,
16
   STANLEY LYLES, NANCY EVANS,
   MARTHA VASQUEZ, ROSIE
17
   BYERS, and ROY CHAFFEE,
18
                  Defendants.
19
20
         Pursuant to and for the reasons stated in the Court's
2.1
   Minute Order dated July 22, 2008, Defendants' Motion to
```

Pursuant to and for the reasons stated in the Court's Minute Order dated July 22, 2008, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint for Failure to State a Claim Upon Which Relief Can Be Granted is GRANTED as to Defendants Sal Rosselli, Jorge Rodriguez, Lori Wyman, Joan Emslie, David Shapiro, Stanley Lyles, Nancy Evans, Martha Vasquez, Rosie Byers, and Roy Chaffee ("Defendants") as follows:

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. Plaintiff's First Claim for Relief, and Second Claim for Relief for violation of section 301(a) of the Labor Management Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. 185(a) are dismissed with prejudice;
- 2. To the extent Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief for state-law breach of contract is based on a contract between labor organizations, it is dismissed with prejudice; and
- 3. Because the Court dismisses the only claims over which this Court has original jurisdiction, the Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(d). Therefore, to the extent Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief for state-law breach of contract is not based on a contract between labor organizations, it is dismissed without prejudice. Plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief is dismissed without prejudice.

For the foregoing reasons, the First Amended Complaint is dismissed in its entirety against Defendants, and the Court hereby enters final judgment in favor of all Defendants, and against Plaintiff.

Defendants shall jointly recover costs as prevailing parties in this litigation, subject to filing a timely Notice of Application to the Clerk to Tax Costs and a proposed Bill of Costs as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54

26 / / /

- 27 / / /
- 28 / / /

## Case 2:08-cv-02777-JFW-PLA Document 43 Filed 07/31/08 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:252

1	and this Court's Local Rule 54-3. After costs are taxed, the
2	Clerk shall here note the amount of costs:
3	\$
4	The Clerk is ordered to enter this Judgment.
5	
6	
7	Dated: July 31, 2008  JOHN F. WALTER
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	